

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/667,470	09/23/2003	Rajeev A. Jain	029318-0972	9048	
31049 Elan Drug Del	7590 07/31/200 livery, Inc. c/o Foley &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
3000 K Street,		KWON, BRI	KWON, BRIAN YONG S		
Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,470	JAIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian-Yong S. Kwon	1614		

	Brian-Yong S. Kwon	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	ionas with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Cladithin two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	sideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. Me for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: 27-51 and 87-107. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>For the reasons of record.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Brian-Yong S Kwon/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1614	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: 1) The proposed amendment changing the scope of the invention by excluding "a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug" in claims 27 and 87 necesitates further consideration and/or search. Unlike the applicant's argument (page 14, para. 3 of Remarks filed 06/20/08), the proposed amendment excluding "a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug" in which the instant rejections (Eickholff et al. and/or Kerkhof et al.) are basically relied upon raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

2) With respect to the applicant's argument that 'an element may be explicitly excluded in the claims if the element is positively recited in the specification", the examiner likes to point out that such provision is only allowed in situation where one of alternative elements or species positively recited in a Martush-type claim is cancelled or excluded. Otherwise, any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.